



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/682,883

10/29/2001

Phillip A. Danner

120751

1812

23465 7590 06/16/2008
JOHN S. BEULICK
C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE
SUITE 2600
ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740

EXAMINER

JONES, PRENELL P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2619

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/16/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USpatents@armstrongteasdale.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/682,883	Applicant(s) DANNER ET AL.	
	Examiner PRENELL P. JONES	Art Unit 2619	

All Participants:

(1) PRENELL P. JONES.

(2) Applicant Rep. (Eric Krischke).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2008

Time: 11:00 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

renumbered claim 5 (original claim 6) and claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

With respect to the amendment submitted on 2/13/2008, Examiner requested authorization to perform an Examiners amendment on claim 6 (e.g. which has been renumbered to be claim 5), wherein claim 6 (which has been renumbered to claim 5) will now depend on claim 1 instead of canceled claim 5. Mr. Eric Krischke authorized Examiner to perform an Examiners amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

